

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 DOUGLAS MANNS,

9 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-99-0123 LKK EFB P

10 vs.

11 ANA RAMIREZ-PALMER, et al.,

12 Respondents.

ORDER

13 _____/
14 On October 20, 2000, Findings and Recommendations were filed recommending that
15 petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus be denied. On August 6, 2001, the assigned
16 district judge adopted that recommendation and dismissed the case. Judgment was entered
17 accordingly. Petitioner filed on January 25, 2007, a motion for relief from judgment. The motion
18 for relief from judgment is untimely and a timely request for an extension of time was never
19 sought.

20 Accordingly, petitioner's January 25, 2007, motion for relief from judgment is denied.

21 The court notes that it will issue no response to future filings by petitioner in this action
22 not authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

23 So ordered.

24 DATED: July 30, 2007.

25 
EDMUND F. BRENNAN
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE